

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upol.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,237	01/22/2004	Albrecht Wendel	P61750US1	2027
136 7550 0772922009 JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			EXAMINER	
			HINES, JANA A	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/761.237 WENDEL ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1645 JaNa Hines All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JaNa Hines. (3)Thomas Hartung, MD, PhD. (2) William Player (Atty). (4)____. Date of Interview: 09 July 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: Claims of record. Identification of prior art discussed: All art of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art art rejections, Livesey et al., and Hill, were discussed, in particular the differences in their processes as compared to the claims and instant invention . Also the differences in viability where as the prior art does not produce viable cells.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.